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#### Abstract

Pathological angiogenesis is associated with disease states such as cancer, diabetic retinopathy, rheumatoid arthritis, endometriosis, and psoriasis. There is much evidence that direct inhibition of the kinase activity of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR-2) will result in the reduction of angiogenesis and the suppression of tumor growth. Attempts to optimize a cyclin-dependent kinase-1 (CDK1) inhibitor by using palladium-catalyzed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation reactions to assemble diverse biheteroaryl molecules led to the unexpected discovery of a pyrazine-pyridine biheteroaryl as a novel series of potent VEGFR-2 inhibitors. Compound 15, which had $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.084 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at VEGFR-2, showed very modest selectivity against fibroblast growth factor receptor-2 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.21 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, platelet-derived growth factor receptor $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.36\right.$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$, and glycogen synthase kinase-3 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.478 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, while it exhibited more than 10 -fold selectivity against epidermal growth factor receptor ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.36 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and insulin-R kinase $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.69 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. On the other hand, compound 15 exhibited more than 100 -fold selectivity against calmodulin kinase 2; casein kinase-1 and -2; CDK1 and -4; mitogen-activated protein kinase; and protein kinase $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C} \beta 2$, and $\mathrm{C} \gamma\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ also displayed high inhibitory potency on VEGF-stimulated human umbilical vein endothelial cell (HUVEC) proliferation ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.005 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and good selectivity against cell lines such as HUVEC, human aortic smooth muscle cells, and MRC5 lung fibroblasts. Molecular docking studies were conducted in an attempt to rationalize the unexpected high VEGFR-2 selectivity of $\mathbf{1 5}$.


## Introduction

Angiogenesis, the formation of new capillaries from preexisting blood vessels, ${ }^{1}$ is a necessary process for organ development during embryogenesis and is critical for the female reproductive cycle, inflammation, and wound healing in the adult. ${ }^{2}$ Pathological angiogenesis is associated with disease states such as cancer, ${ }^{3}$ diabetic retinopathy, ${ }^{4}$ rheumatoid arthritis, ${ }^{5}$ endometriosis, ${ }^{6}$ and psoriasis. ${ }^{7}$ Solid tumors, in particular, are dependent on angiogenesis to grow beyond a certain critical size by inducing new capillaries sprouting from existing blood vessels to secure their nutrition, oxygen supply, and waste removal. ${ }^{3,8}$ In addition, angiogenesis also promotes metastasis of tumor cells to other sites. ${ }^{9}$

The new vessel growth and maturation are highly complex and coordinated processes, requiring the stimulation by a number of growth factors, ${ }^{10}$ but vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) signaling often represents a critical rate-limiting step in physiological angiogenesis and pathological angiogenesis. ${ }^{11}$ The biological effects of VEGF are mediated by two receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), VEGFR-1 (Flt-1) ${ }^{12}$ and VEG-FR-2 [also known as kinase domain region (KDR) or Flk1]. ${ }^{13}$ While the precise function of VEGFR-1 is still under debate, ${ }^{14}$ there is much evidence that VEGFR-2 is the major mediator of vascular endothelial cell (EC) mitogenesis and survival, as well as angiogenesis and

[^0]microvascular permeability. ${ }^{14}$ Therefore, it is expected that direct inhibition of the kinase activity of VEGFR-2 will result in the reduction of angiogenesis and the suppression of tumor growth. Furthermore, inhibition of VEGFR-2 targeting the genetically more stable host endothelial cells, instead of labile tumor tissues, may decrease the chance of resistance development. ${ }^{15}$
A number of VEGF inhibitors are currently undergoing advanced-stage clinical trials for the treatment of cancer, including a humanized monoclonal antibody to VEGF (bevacizumab/rhuMab VEGF), ${ }^{16}$ an anti-VEG-FR-2 antibody, ${ }^{17}$ a soluble VEGF receptor, ${ }^{18}$ and several small molecule VEGFR-2 inhibitors (PTK787/vatalanib, ${ }^{19}$ SU-11248, ${ }^{20}$ SU-6668, ${ }^{21}$ and ZD-6474, ${ }^{22}$ Scheme 1). Among them, bevacizumab (Avastin) in combination with chemotherapy, ${ }^{16,23}$ was accepted by the FDA in 2003 for the treatment of first-line metastatic colorectal cancer. This first proof-of-concept example will certainly warrant the development of many more small molecule VEGF inhibitors ${ }^{24}$ for anti-angiogenesis therapy. This paper describes the unexpected discovery of the pyra-zine-pyridine biheteroaryl scaffold as the basis of a novel series of potent VEGFR-2 inhibitors.

## Chemistry

The chloride 3 was synthesized via a palladiumcatalyzed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation reaction ${ }^{25}$ of 4,6-dichloropyrimidine 1 with 3 -chloroaniline followed by Boc protection (Scheme 2). The required organostannane $\mathbf{7}$ was prepared in three-step sequence: (1) a Curtius
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## Scheme $\mathbf{2}^{a}$


 $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $\left[(n-\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{Sn}\right]_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{P}(o-\mathrm{Tol})_{3}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vi) (a) 3, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{AsPh}_{3}, 67{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (b) TFA.
rearrangement ${ }^{26}$ of the nicotinic acid 4 with DPPA gave $\mathbf{5}$, (2) alkylation of $\mathbf{5}$ with the silyl-protected bromide gave 6, (3) a palladium-catalyzed transformation of the organo halide to the organostannane ${ }^{27}$ provided 7. The first biheteroaryl target, pyrimidine-pyridine 8, was synthesized by a palladium-catalyzed Stille coupling reaction ${ }^{28}$ of halide $\mathbf{3}$ with organostannane $\mathbf{7}$ followed by deprotection with TFA (Scheme 2).
The second target, pyrazine-pyridine 15, was prepared by a different reaction sequence (Scheme 3). The Stille coupling reaction of organostannane $\mathbf{1 0}$ with 2,6 dichloropyrazine catalyzed by $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{29}$ gave 11 . Palladium-catalyzed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation of 11 with 3 -chloroaniline in the presence of DPPF ${ }^{30}$ as the ligand generated 12 in good yield. Boc-protection and base hydrolysis, followed by Curtius rearrangement, provided 14. Alkylation of $\mathbf{1 4}$ with the silyl-protected bromide, followed by TFA deprotection, gave the second target molecule 15. The biheteroaryl targets of 20, 25, and 30 were all prepared in a similar way as $\mathbf{1 5}$ (Scheme 3).

The synthesis of pyrazine-pyrazine $\mathbf{3 8}$ was shown in Scheme 4. Under the same reaction conditions used in the preparation of chloride $2\left(\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right.$, BINAP, NaOt Bu ), chloride 32 was formed in $90 \%$ yield instead of $4 \%$
yield as in chloride 2 . The known $34^{31}$ was converted to the organostannane 35, followed by the Stille coupling reaction with 33 to afford 36. Base hydrolysis, Curtius rearrangement, alkylation, and deprotection provided the target molecule 38.

The synthesis of pyrazine-pyridine $\mathbf{4 2}$ was shown in Scheme 5. Starting from the bromide 39, Boc protection, alkylation and palladium-catalyzed transformation gave the organostannane 41. Stille coupling reaction of 41 with 33, followed by deprotection afforded target 42.

The synthesis of biheteroaryl 47 was shown in Scheme 6. A Curtius rearrangement was conducted on $\mathbf{4 3}^{32}$ to give 44. Alkylation, organostannane formation, and Stille coupling reaction, followed by deprotection, gave the target 47.

To our delight, the nucleophilic organostannane $48^{33}$ survived the Curtius rearrangement reaction condition to generate the $N$-Boc-substituted (trimethylstannanyl)pyridine 49 in $57 \%$ yield (Scheme 7). A Stille coupling reaction of 49 with 33 , followed by alkylation and deprotection, generated the pyrazine-pyridine 51.

Transformation of the known triflate $52^{34}$ to the corresponding organostannane, followed by the Stille coupling reaction, gave 53 (Scheme 8). Base hydrolysis,

## Scheme $3^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (i) $\left[(n-\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{Sn}\right]_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{P}(o-\mathrm{Tol})_{3}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{ArX}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{LiCl}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) 3-chloroaniline, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{DPPF}^{2}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) (a) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP, (b) $\mathrm{NaOH}, 0-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) DPPA, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, t-\mathrm{BuOH}, 65-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vi) (a) $\left.\mathrm{Br}^{( } \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OTBS}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}{ }_{3}, 70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (b) TFA.

Scheme $4^{a}$



followed by the Curtius rearrangement, alkylation, and deprotection, afforded the target molecule 55.

The synthesis of the pyrazine-thiazole $\mathbf{5 9}$ was started from the aminothiazole 56 (Scheme 9). Boc-protection and alkylation gave 58, which was converted to the corresponding organostannane, followed by the Stille coupling reaction and deprotection, generating the target 59.

The target, pyrazine-oxazole 62, was synthesized via a different method (Scheme 10). A hetero-Heck reaction ${ }^{35}$ of $\mathbf{3 3}$ with oxazole in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$
gave $\mathbf{6 0}$ directly. Iodonation ${ }^{36}$ followed by the amination gave the target 62.

## Results and Discussion

Lead Discovery. We were originally interested in identifying potent and selective cyclin-dependent ki-nase-1 (CDK1) inhibitors for the treatment of cancer. ${ }^{37}$ In a literature review, discovery and lead optimization efforts have provided many CDK inhibitors over the past decade; ${ }^{38}$ two of these agents, flavopiridol and UCN-01,

## Scheme $5^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (i) (a) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DMAP}$, (b) $\mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OTBS}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{Pd}_{( }\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4},\left[(n-\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{Sn}\right]_{2}, \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{BHT}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) (a) 33, $\mathrm{Pd}^{( }\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{LiCl}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (b) TFA.

## Scheme $\mathbf{6}^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (i) DPPA, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}, 65-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OTBS}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\mathrm{Me}_{6} \mathrm{Sn}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}^{\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{BHT}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text {; (iv) (a) 33, } \mathrm{Pd}^{( }\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \text {, }}$ $\mathrm{LiCl}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (b) TFA.

## Scheme $7^{\boldsymbol{a}}$
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## Scheme $8^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (i) (a) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Me}_{6} \mathrm{Sn}_{2}, \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{BHT}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (b) 33, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{LiCl}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) (a) LiOH , (b) DPPA, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}, 65-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) (a) $\mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OTBS}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (b) TFA.

## Scheme $\mathbf{9}^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (i) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP; (ii) $\mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OTBS}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) (a) $\left.\mathrm{Pd}^{( } \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4},\left[(n-\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{Sn}\right]_{2}, \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{BHT}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (b) $33, \mathrm{Pd}^{( }\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{LiCl}$, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) TFA.
have advanced to clinical evaluation..$^{39,40}$ However, both flavopiridol ${ }^{41}$ and UCN-01 ${ }^{42}$ proved to be potent but nonselective CDK inhibitors. Recently, a high-affinity CDK1 inhibitor (CGP-60474, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.017 \mu \mathrm{M}$, Scheme 1) with better selectivity toward other kinases was
reported. ${ }^{43}$ Therefore, we decided to take advantage of the recent advances in palladium-catalyzed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation reactions to assemble diverse biheteroaryl molecules (Scheme 1), which might be difficult to synthesize otherwise, with the goal to

## Scheme $10^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (i) oxazole, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{AcOK}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) LHMDS, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diiodoethane; (iii) 3-amino-1-propanol, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 1. Binding Affinities at CDK1 and VEGFR-2 ${ }^{a}$

Compd
${ }^{a}$ Assay details are described in the Experimental Section. ${ }^{b}$ SEM: standard error of the mean.
identify a CDK1 inhibitor with optimized potency, selectivity, and pharmacokinetic profiles.

We first prepared pyrimidine-pyridine 8 (Table 1), a close analogue of CGP-60474, by moving the bottom nitrogen atom in the pyridine ring to the meta-position and moving one of the pyrimidine nitrogen atoms from the ortho- to the para-position relative to the (3chlorophenyl)amino group. A 140-fold decrease in CDK1 inhibitory potency $\left(8, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.4 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, Table 1 ) was observed together with very poor inhibition against VEGFR-2 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), one of the kinases in our selectivity panel.

We then replaced the upper pyrimidine ring with a pyrazine ring while the lower pyridine ring remained unchanged to give 15. To our surprise, the inhibition at CDK1 was totally abolished ( $\left.\mathbf{1 5}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ while a high potency was observed at VEGFR-2 (15, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=$ $0.084 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The nitrogen atoms in the upper ring of these biheteroaryls clearly play a major role in the binding affinities of the inhibitors for CDK1 and VEGFR-2.

Shifting the (3-chlorophenyl)amino group from the meta- to the para-position in the upper pyrazine ring abolished the kinase inhibition of both CDK1 and VEGFR-2 $\left(\mathbf{2 0}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Apparently, the relative position of the substituted aniline moiety to the upper ring is also critical to the binding affinities at the kinases. The poor kinase inhibitory potency of 25 and $\mathbf{3 0}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ at both CDK1 and VEGFR-2) further suggested the importance of the 1,3-orientation between the substituted-aniline group and the lower ring moiety to the kinase activities.

With the discovery of the potent $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.084 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and selective ( $>100$-fold against CDK1) VEGFR-2 inhibitor 15 in hand, we continued to explore the impact of the lower ring of the biheteroaryl toward the VEG-FR-2 inhibition. Replacing the pyridine of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with another pyrazine gave 38. The addition of one extra nitrogen atom to the lower ring reduced by 6 -fold the potency at VEGFR-2 $\left(38, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.497 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, Table 2$)$. Removal of one nitrogen atom from the lower metaposition of 38 did not restore the potency $\left(42, \mathrm{IC}_{50}>10\right.$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ for both kinases). By comparing compounds 15 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $=0.084 \mu \mathrm{M}), 38\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.497 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, and $42\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10\right.$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$, the significant contribution of the nitrogen atom at the meta-position of the lower ring to the VEGFR-2 inhibition was demonstrated; on the other hand, the nitrogen atom at the ortho-position between the two substituents on the lower ring was detrimental to the binding affinity at VEGFR-2.

Replacing the 3-pyridine of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with 4 -pyridine (as in CGP-60474) gave 47. Interestingly, compound 47 displayed significant inhibition at both VEGFR-2 and CDK1 (47, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at both kinases). Shifting the (hydroxypropyl)amino side chain of 47 from the metaposition to the ortho-position resulted in 51 with reduced potency at both kinases (51, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.761 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at VEGFR-2; $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.22 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at CDK1). By comparison of CGP-60474 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.017 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ at CDK1) and $47\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at CDK1) to 15 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at CDK1), the nitrogen atom at the para-position of the lower ring (4pyridine) (such as in CGP-60474 and 47) appears to contribute to the binding affinity at CDK1 more significantly than at VEGFR-2.

If we shifted the (hydroxypropyl)amino side chain of 42 from the meta-position to the para-position to generate 55, no inhibition was observed at either kinases (55, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for VEGFR-2 and CDK1). We next replaced the lower 3-pyridine of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with a thiazole to give 59, and about 8-fold reduced potency at VEGFR-2 was observed for 59 when compared to $15\left(59, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $0.708 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at VEGFR-2). Replacement of the 3-pyridine of 15 with an oxazole afforded 62 with even lower potency at VEGFR-2 $\left(62, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.11 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. These

Table 2. Binding Affinities at CDK1 and VEGFR-2 ${ }^{a}$

Compd
${ }^{a}$ Assay details are described in the Experimental Section.
observations were consistent with the notion that "the thiazole is a closer bioisosteres ${ }^{44}$ of pyridine than oxazole".

In summary, while no improvement was made toward the potency and selectivity at CDK1 with the diverse biheteroaryls examined, we unexpectedly discovered that compound 15, having a pyrazine-pyridine scaffold with 1,3 -substitution-orientation at both upper and lower rings, exhibited high potency at VEGFR-2 and high selectivity against CDK1.

Kinase Selectivity. We next examined the selectivity of the most potent VEGFR-2 inhibitor, $\mathbf{1 5}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $0.084 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), against a representative panel of kinases (Table 3). Compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ showed very modest selectivity (2-5-fold) against fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR-2, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.21 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGF-R, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.36 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), and glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.478 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), while it exhibited more than 1 order of magnitude of selectivity against epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $=1.36 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and insulin-R kinase ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.69 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). FGFR-2 and PDGF-R have been implicated indirectly in inducing VEGF secretion and hence in angiogenesis. ${ }^{45}$ ST1-571 (Gleevec) is a potent PDGF-R inhibitor and a v-Abl inhibitor. It has been approved recently for the treatment of chronic myeloid leukemia and KIT positive metastatic malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumors

Table 3. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ for Selected Kinase Assays for $\mathbf{1 5}^{a}$

| kinase assay | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | kinase assay | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEGFR-2 | 0.084 | GSK-3 | 0.478 |
| calmodulin kinase-2 | $>10$ | insulin-R kinase | 1.69 |
| caseein kinase-1 | $>10$ | MAPK (ERK2) | $>10$ |
| casein kinase-2 | $>10$ | PDGF-R | 0.36 |
| CDK1 | $>10$ | PKA | $>10$ |
| CDK4 | $>10$ | PKC $\beta 2$ | $>10$ |
| EGFR | 1.36 | PKC $\gamma$ | $>10$ |
| FGFR-2 | 0.21 |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Assay details are described in the Experimental Section. IC $_{50}$ values are reported as the average of at least two separate determinations.

Table 4. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ for Inhibition of Cell Proliferation by $\mathbf{1 5}^{a}$

| cell line | $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM}$ | cell line | $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| VEGF-stimulated HUVEC | $0.005 \pm 0.003$ | HeLa | $>10$ |
| HUVEC | $1.68 \pm 0.146$ | HCT-116 | $>10$ |
| HASMC | $3.87 \pm 0.168$ | A375 | $>10$ |
| MRC5 | $6.6 \pm 0.110$ |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Assay details are described in the Experimental Section.
and is under investigation in the treatment of glioblastomas which exploits its PDGFR inhibitory activity. ${ }^{46}$ Therefore, although we were targeting the VEGFR inhibition, the very modest selectivity of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ against FGFR-2 and PDGF-R may not be undesirable. On the other hand, compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ exhibited at least 2 orders of magnitude of selectivity against calmodulin kinase 2, casein kinase-1 or -2, CDK1, CDK4, mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK), protein kinase A (PKA), protein kinase $\mathrm{C} \beta 2$ (PKC $\beta 2$ ), and protein kinase $\mathrm{C} \gamma(\mathrm{PKC} \gamma)\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, Table 3).

Cellular Selectivity. The cellular activity of the compound was tested for its ability to inhibit VEGFstimulated proliferation of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC). Compound 15 exhibits excellent cellular potency $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.005 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, Table 4) for inhibiting VEGF-stimulated proliferation. ${ }^{47}$ On the other hand, compound 15 only displayed modest effect on the unstimulated growth of HUVEC $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.68\right.$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$. The 336 -fold difference in potency of compound 15 for inhibition of VEGF-stimulated growth of HUVEC versus unstimulated growth of HUVEC may suggests its specificity of action through inhibition of VEGFR signal transduction. In addition, compound 15 displayed very minimal inhibition of proliferation of two normal human cell types, human aortic smooth muscle cells (HASMC, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.87 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and MRC5 lung fibroblasts $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=6.6 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, which indicates the high cellular selectivity of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ for VEGF-mediated processes.

The ability of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ to inhibit the proliferation of cells derived from carcinomas originating from various tissues such as HeLa (cervical adenocarcinoma), HCT-116 (colon carcinoma), and A375 (malignant melanoma) was also examined. The lack of inhibition of the growth of these tumor cell lines ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, Table 4) further confirms that the VEGFR inhibitor 15 does not exhibit general antiproliferative activity, as would occur through inhibition of growth regulatory kinases such as CDK1 inhibitors.

Molecular Docking Studies. In an attempt to rationalize the unexpected high kinase selectivity of pyrazine-pyridine biheteroaryl 15 at VEGFR-2 over CDK1, molecular docking studies of CGP-60474 and 15


Figure 1. Illustration of the binding modes of CGP60474 (a) and $\mathbf{1 5}$ (b) in the ATP-binding sites of CDK1 and VEGFR-2, respectively, suggested by molecular docking. The ligands and the key residues that act as their hydrogen-bonding partners are represented in the tube model, while the proteins are in the carton model. The hydrogen bonds are indicated by the yellow dotted lines. (Atom color scheme: hydrogen in white, oxygen in red, nitrogen in blue, chlorine in dark green, carbon on ligands in orange, and carbon on proteins in gray.)
on CDK1 and VEGFR-2 were conducted, respectively. Their favorite docking poses in the ATP-binding sites are illustrated in Figure 1. Overall, both compounds show a nice fit in the binding sites and make extensive favorable van der Waals contacts with proteins through their tricyclic scaffolds. Interestingly, they adopt two opposite binding modes, in terms of hydrogen bonding. CGP-60474 (Figure 1a) adopts a typical bidentate hydrogen-bonding mode with the CDK1 backbone at the hinge region, as described by Furet et al., ${ }^{48}$ the pyrimidine nitrogen with the amide nitrogen of Leu83, and the anilino nitrogen with the carbonyl oxygen of Leu83. Two additional hydrogen bonds may also be formed, one is between the pyridine nitrogen and the terminal amino group of Lys33, and the other one is between the hydroxyl group and the terminal carboxylate group of Asp86. On the other hand, compound 15 (Figure 1b) adopts a single hydrogen bond to interact with the

VEGFR-2 backbone at the hinge region, i.e., the pyridine nitrogen and the amide nitrogen of Cys919. Meanwhile, the anilino nitrogen is moving away from the hinge region and is hydrogen bonded to the carboxylate group of Asp1046. Three additional hydrogen bonds may also be formed, one is between the inner pyrazine nitrogen with the thiol group of Cys1045, and the other two are between the hydroxyl group of $\mathbf{1 5}$ and the residue Asn923. The hydrogen bond between the inner pyrazine nitrogen and the thiol group of Cys 1045 may be pivotal, because it allows the pyrazine ring to get close to the bulky thiol group of Cys1045, and therefore, $\mathbf{1 5}$ may simultaneously form the above two hydrogen bonds with Cys919 and Asp1046.

The results of molecular docking studies may provide us some insights into the SAR observed in Tables 1 and 2 as well. First, the 1,3-disubstitution pattern at both of the upper and lower rings appears critical, since the tricyclic scaffold needs to adopt a U-shape conformation to fit into the ATP-binding site. Any different disubstitution patterns may change the overall shape of the scaffold and reduce its optimal fit into the binding site (such as 20, 25, 30, and 55), with the exception of 51. In the case of $\mathbf{5 1}$, the flexibility of the (hydroxylpropyl)amino side chain may contribute to the tolerance of its 1,2 -substitution pattern. Second, the nitrogen position in the upper and lower rings seems to contribute significantly to the binding affinities of different kinases. A subtle change of the nitrogen position may completely change the mode of hydrogen bonding and consequently dramatically alter the selectivity profile of a compound. For example, comparing 8 and $\mathbf{1 5}$ (Table 1), the shift of a nitrogen atom from the outer ortho-position to the inner ortho-position, relative to the 3-chloroanilino group, changes the formation of the hydrogen bond from 8 with Leu83 of CDK1 to 15 with Cys 1045 of VEGFR2, therefore giving them different selectivity at CDK1 versus VEGFR-2. The addition of the second nitrogen to the lower ring of $\mathbf{1 5}$ (such as $\mathbf{3 8}$, Table 2) reduces the basicity of the first outer nitrogen and weakens the strength of its hydrogen bond with Cys919 of VEGFR2, leading to a decreased potency of 38 at VEGFR-2. Meanwhile, the removal of the first outer nitrogen of the lower ring of $\mathbf{3 8}$ (such as 42) eliminates its hydrogen bond with Cys919 and thus abolishes the VEGFR-2 inhibitory potency of $\mathbf{4 2}$. Compared to 15, 47 (Table 2) moves the lower pyridine nitrogen from the meta- to the para-position, relative to the pyrazine ring. This change may set the lower nitrogen at a more favorable position to form the hydrogen bond with Lys33 of CDK1 and a less favorable position to form the hydrogen bond with Cys919 of VEGFR-2, therefore restoring some CDK1 inhibitory potency and reducing some VEGFR-2 inhibitory potency at the same time.

## Conclusion

Attempts to optimize a CDK1 inhibitor by using palladium-catalyzed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation reactions to assemble diverse biheteroaryl molecules led to the unexpected discovery of a pyrazine-pyridine biheteroaryl as a novel potent VEGFR-2 inhibitor. Compound 15, which had $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.084 \mu \mathrm{M}$ inhibitory potency at VEGFR-2, showed very modest selectivity against FGFR-2 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.21 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, PDGF-R $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.36\right.$
$\mu \mathrm{M})$, and GSK-3 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.478 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, while it exhibited more than 10 -fold selectivity against EGFR ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.36$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$ and insulin-R kinase $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.69 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. On the other hand, compound 15 exhibited more than 100 -fold selectivity against calmodulin kinase 2 , casein kinase-1 or -2 , CDK1, CDK4, MAPK, PKA, PKC $\beta 2$, and PKC $\gamma$ ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Compound 15 also displayed high inhibitory potency at VEGF-stimulated HUVEC and good selectivity against cell lines such as HUVEC, HASMC, and MRC5. Molecular docking studies were conducted in an attempt to rationalize the unexpected high VEGFR-2 selectivity of $\mathbf{1 5}$. The high potency, good kinase selectivity profile, and high cellular potency/ selectivity of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ may render it as valuable pharmacological tool in elucidating the complex roles of VEGF signaling pathways and the potential utility for anti-angiogenesis therapy.

## Experimental Section

Chemistry. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AC-300 ( 300 MHz ) spectrometer using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Elemental analyses were obtained by Quantitative Technologies Inc. (Whitehouse, NJ), and the results were within $0.4 \%$ of the calculated values unless otherwise mentioned. Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes with a Thomas-Hoover apparatus and were uncorrected. Electrospray mass spectra (MS-ES) were recorded on a Hewlett-Packard 59987A spectrometer. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained on a Micromass Autospec. E spectrometer. The term "DMAP" refers to (dimethylamino)pyridine, "TFA" refers to trifluoroacetic acid, "NMP" refers to 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone, "DPPF" refers to 1,1 '-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene, $" \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ " refers to tris(dibenzyli-deneacetone)dipalladium(0)-chloroform adduct, and "DPPA" refers to diphenylphosphoryl azide.
(3-Chlorophenyl)(6-chloropyrimidin-4-yl)amine 2. A mixture of 4,6-dichloropyrimidine compound $\mathbf{1}$ ( $4 \mathrm{~g}, 26.9$ mmol ), 3-chloroaniline ( $3.44 \mathrm{~g}, 26.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), palladium acetate ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), BINAP ( $368 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{NaO}-t-\mathrm{Bu}$ $(3.61 \mathrm{~g}, 217 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h under nitrogen. The cooled reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum. The residue was diluted with dichloromethane and filtered through Celite, the Celite was washed with acetone, and the combined filtrates were concentrated under vacuum. The product was purified by column chromatography ( $100 \%$ dichloromethane as solvent) to give 250 $\mathrm{mg}(4 \%)$ of compound 2 as a solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) $m / z 240\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.
(3-Chlorophenyl)(6-chloropyrimidin-4-yl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 3. A mixture of compound $2(240 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ mmol ), $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(436 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMAP (cat.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give 308 mg ( $91 \%$ ) of compound $\mathbf{3}$ as an oil: MS (ES) $m / z 362(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(5-Bromopyridin-3-yl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 5. A mixture of 5-bromonicotinic acid compound $4(7.14 \mathrm{~g}, 35.4$ $\mathrm{mmol}), t-\mathrm{BuOH}(155 \mathrm{~mL})$, triethylamine ( $6.08 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DPPA ( $10.69 \mathrm{~g}, 38.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 115 mL ) was stirred at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min then warmed to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h under nitrogen. The cooled reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum. The product was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, ethyl acetate/hexane as solvent) to give 2.9 g (30\%) of compound 5 as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ (brs, 1 H ), 1.53 (s, 9 H ); MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 273,275$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Br}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(5-Bromopyridin-3-yl)[3-((tert-butyldimethylsilanyl)oxy)propyl]carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 6. A mixture
of compound 5 ( $2.85 \mathrm{~g}, 10.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (3-bromopropoxy)-tertbutyldimethylsilane ( $3.96 \mathrm{~g}, 15.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10.21$ $\mathrm{g}, 31.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in anhydrous DMF ( 55 mL ), was stirred at 70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 23 h under nitrogen. The cooled reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with ether ( $3 \times$ ), dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give $4.2 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ of compound 6 as yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 8.45 (brs, 2 H ), 7.77 (brs, 1 H ), 3.73 (brt, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.62(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 445$, $447\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{BrSi}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
[3-((tert-Butyldimethylsilanyl)oxy)propyl](5-(tributyl-stannanyl)pyridin-3-yl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 7. A mixture of compound 6 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(tributyltin) ( $780 \mathrm{mg}, 1.345 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tri-o-tolylphosphine ( 88.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), palladium acetate ( $11.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $226 \mathrm{mg}, 2.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile was stirred at $95-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 23 h under nitrogen. The cooled reaction mixture was concentrated, sodium carbonate solution was added, and the mixture stirred for 10 min before being extracted with hexane ( $4 \times$ ). The combined hexane was filtered through Celite, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane as solvent) to give $395 \mathrm{mg}(54 \%)$ of compound 7 as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55$ (m, 1 H ), 3.72 (brt, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 29 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85$ (s, 9 H ), 0.00 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS (ES) $m / z 657\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

3-\{[5-[6-((3-Chlorophenyl)amino)pyrimidin-4-yl]pyri-din-3-yl]amino\}propan-1-ol 8. A mixture of compound 7 ( $396 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), compound 3 ( $293 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol})$, and triphenylarsine $(37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12$ mmol ) in THF ( 8 mL ) was refluxed for 41 h under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was concentrated, sodium carbonate solution was added, and the mixture stirred for 10 min before being extracted with ether $(3 \times)$. The combined ether layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give $63 \mathrm{mg}(16 \%)$ of the silylated product as an oil: MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $670\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

The silylated product was dissolved in TFA ( 2 mL ) and stirred at room temperature for 5 h before it was concentrated. An ammonium hydroxide solution was added to the solution until the pH was about $10-11$, water was added, and a yellow solid was formed. The yellow solid was collected through filtration, washed with more water, and dried under vacuum. The yellow solid was purified by column chromatography (dry loading, methylene chloride/methanol as solvent) to give 26 $\mathrm{mg}(77 \%)$ of compound 8 as yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08$ (brs, 2 H ), 7.56 (d, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.47 (s, 1 H ), 7.37 (t, $J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.55(\mathrm{t}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $m / z 356\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OCl} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ C, H, N.

5-(Tributylstannanyl)nicotinic Acid Ethyl Ester 10. A mixture of 5-bromonicotinate 9 ( $25 \mathrm{~g}, 108.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(tributyltin) ( $63.05 \mathrm{~g}, 108.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), palladium acetate ( 1.1 g , 4.89 mmol ), tri-o-tolylphosphine ( $8.6 \mathrm{~g}, 28.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triethylamine ( $21.96 \mathrm{~g}, 217.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 350 mL ) was stirred at $95-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 22 h under nitrogen. The cooled reaction mixture was filtered through Celite. Then the Celite was washed with more acetonitrile and the combined acetonitrile was concentrated under vacuum. The residue was diluted with a suitable solvent, such as dichloromethane, washed with aqueous sodium carbonate, and concentrated. The residue was further diluted with hexane. The solid was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography (twice, $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, dichloromethane/ hexane as solvent) to give $19.09 \mathrm{~g}(40 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 0}$ as a light orange oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.11$ (brs, 1 H ), 8.74 (brs, 1 H), 8.35 (brs, 1 H ), 4.41 (q, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.72-1.05$ (m, 21 $\mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 442\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 11, 16, 21, and 26. 5-(6-Chloropyrazin-2-yl)nicotinic Acid Ethyl Ester 11. A mixture of $\mathbf{1 0}(10 \mathrm{~g}, 22.7 \mathrm{mmol}), 2,6$-dichloropyrazine ( 6.76 $\mathrm{g}, 45.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (797 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{LiCl}(4.77 \mathrm{~g}, 113.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous toluene ( 85 mL ) was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 23 h under nitrogen. The cooled reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum. Aqueous sodium carbonate was added to the residue, and the mixture stirred for 10 min and was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times)$. The combined dichloromethane solution was filtered through Celite, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/ hexane as solvent) to give $3.56 \mathrm{~g}(60 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 1}$ as an off-white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.43$ (brs, 1 H ), 9.35 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.05$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 264\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 12, 17, 22, and 27. 5-[6-((3-Chlorophenyl)amino)-pyrazin-2-yl]nicotinic Acid Ethyl Ester 12. A mixture of 11 ( $24.3 \mathrm{~g}, 92.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3 -chloroaniline ( $16.6 \mathrm{~g}, 129 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(2.39 \mathrm{~g}, 2.31$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \operatorname{DPPF}(4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 7.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(60.2 \mathrm{~g}, 185 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dioxane ( 230 mL ) was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 46 h under nitrogen. Dichloromethane ( 100 mL ) was added to the cooled reaction mixture. The mixture was then filtered through Celite, the Celite cake was washed with more dichloromethane, and the combined filtrate was concentrated to give a yellowbrown solid. Small amounts of dichloromethane were added, the solid was collected through filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated. The solid collected was recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane to give $21.83 \mathrm{~g}(67 \%)$ of compound 12 as a yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.44$ (brs, 1 H ), 9.31 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.44 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (d, $J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / z 355\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 13, 18, 23, and 28. 5-\{6-[tert-Butoxycarbonyl-(3-chlorophenyl)amino]py-razin-2-yl\}nicotinic Acid 13. A mixture of $12(21.83 \mathrm{~g}, 61.7$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(26.9 \mathrm{~g}, 123 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMAP ( $\sim 3 \mathrm{~g}$, cat.) in dichloromethane ( 500 mL ) was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated and the product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give 26.7 g ( $95 \%$ ) of Boc-12 as yellowish oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35$ (m, 3 H ), 7.18 (brd, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.44(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 455(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

Compound Boc-12 (32.2 g, 71 mmol ) was dissolved in methanol ( 200 mL ) and the mixture was stirred for 10 min and then cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})(1 \mathrm{~N}, 118 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution was added slowly and the mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 $\min$ then stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 18 h . Glacial acetic acid $(95 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, slowly followed by the addition of water ( 350 mL ). A yellow solid was formed. The yellow solid was collected through filtration, washed with water ( $5 \times$ ), and dried in a vacuum oven overnight to give 28.3 g ( $94 \%$ ) of the carboxylic acid 13 as a yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.22 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.09 (d, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65$ (brs, 1 H ), 7.52-7.31 (m, 4 H ), 1.44 (s, 9 H ); MS (ES) m/z 425 (M $\mathrm{H}^{+}$). Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 14, 19, 24, and 29. [6-(5-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)pyridin-3-yl)pyra-zin-2-yl](3-chlorophenyl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 14. A mixture of the carboxylic acid $13(28.3 \mathrm{~g}, 66.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, DPPA ( $21.95 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $13.43 \mathrm{~g}, 133 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(280 \mathrm{~mL})$ in toluene ( 200 mL ) was stirred under nitrogen at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 $h$. The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum. The product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/
hexane as solvent) to give $29.28 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 4}$ as a yellowish foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.99$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.80 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ H), 8.67 (d, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41$ (brs, 1 H ), 7.29 (m, 3 H ), 7.17 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.08 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ H), $1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 498\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 15, 20, 25, and 30. 3-[[5-[6-[(3-chlorophenyl)amino]pyrazinyl]-3-pyridin-yl]amino]-1-propanol 15. A mixture of 14 ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (3-bromopropoxy)-tert-butyldimethylsilane ( $2.28 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(5.9 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 60 mL ) was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 36 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with water, extracted with ether $(3 \times)$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give 3.04 g of the silylated product as orange oil. The silylated product was dissolved in TFA ( 30 mL ) and stirred at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h before it was concentrated. An ammonium hydroxide solution was added to the residue until the pH was about $10-11$, water was added, and a yellow solid was formed. The yellow solid was collected through filtration, washed with water, and dried under vacuum. The yellow solid was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} /$ EtOAc to give 1.25 g (59\%) of $\mathbf{1 5}$ as a light yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12$ (brs, 2 H ), $8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66$ (brs, 1 H ), $7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 356\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OCl} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-(5-Chloropyrazin-2-yl)nicotinic Acid Ethyl Ester 16. Replacing 2,6 -dichloropyrazine with 2,5 -dichloropyrazine and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{1 1}$ gave 16 as an off-white solid: $54 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77$ (t, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46$ ( $\mathrm{q}, ~ J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.44(\mathrm{t}, ~ J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS (ES) $m / z 264\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
5-[5-(3-Chloro-phenylamino)pyrazin-2-yl]nicotinic Acid Ethyl Ester 17. Replacing 11 with 16 and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{1 2}$ gave $\mathbf{1 7}$ as an off-white solid: $55 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.31$ (d, $J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.13 (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.65(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67$ (brs, 1 H$), 7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H); MS (ES) $m / z 355\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-\{5-[(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)(3-chlorophenyl)amino]py-razin-2-yl\}nicotinic acid 18. Replacing 12 with 17 and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ gave 18 as an off-white solid: $55 \%$ yield; MS (ES) m/z 425 (M $\mathrm{H}^{+}$).
[5-(5-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)pyridin-3-yl)pyrazin-2-yl](3-chlorophen yl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 19. Replacing 13 with 18 and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{1 4}$ gave 19 as an white foam: $74 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.54$ (d, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $m / z 498\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[[5-[5-[(3-chlorophenyl)amino]pyrazinyl]-3-pyridin-yl]amino]-1-propanol 20. Replacing 14 with 19 and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{1 5}$ gave $\mathbf{2 0}$ as a light yellow oil: $51 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.15$ (brs, 2 H ), 8.08 (d, $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97$ (d, $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.72 (brs, 1 H ), 7.33 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.20 (m, 2 H ), 7.09 (brs, 1 H ), 6.99 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.57 (m, 1 H ), 3.77 (t, J $=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) m/z 356 $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OCl} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-(5-Bromopyrimidin-2-yl)nicotinic Acid Ethyl Ester 21. Replacing 2,6 -dichloropyrazine with 5 -bromo-2-iodopyrimidine and following the same procedure as in the preparation of 11 gave 21 as a yellow solid: $18 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.24(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), $1.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $m / z 310\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

5-[5-(3-Chlorophenyl)amino)pyrimidin-2-yl]nicotinic Acid Ethyl Ester 22. Replacing 16 with 21 and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{1 7}$ gave $\mathbf{2 2}$ as a light yellow solid: $27 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.71$ (d, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.19(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ (m, 2 H), $5.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS (ES) $m / z 355\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

5-\{5-[(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)(3-chlorophenyl)amino]py-rimidin-2-yl\}nicotinic Acid 23. Replacing 17 with 22 and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{1 8}$ gave 23 as a yellow solid: $70 \%$ yield; MS (ES) $m / z 425\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.
[2-(5-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)pyridin-3-yl)pyri-midin-5-yl](3-chlorophenyl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 24. Replacing 18 with 23 and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{1 9}$ gave $\mathbf{2 4}$ as a yellow foam: $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.65$ (brs, 1 H$), 7.41-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 498\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

3-[[5-[5-[(3-chlorophenyl)amino]-2-pyrimidinyl]-3-py-ridinyl]amino]-1-propanol 25. Replacing 19 with 24 and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{2 0}$ gave 25 as a yellow foam: 65\% yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.72 (brs, 1 H ), 7.31 (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.16 (s, 1 H ), 7.14 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.04$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{q}, J=5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.14 ( $\mathrm{q}, ~ J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $356\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OCl} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-(5-Bromopyrimidin-4-yl)nicotinic Acid Ethyl Ester 26. Replacing 2,6 -dichloropyrazine with 4 -chloro-5-bromopyrimidine and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{1 1}$ gave $\mathbf{2 6}$ as an off-white solid: $16 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $9.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 9.00 (s, 1 H ), 8.78 (t, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.46 ( $\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), $1.44(\mathrm{t}, ~ J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 310\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Br}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-[5-(3-Chlorophenyl)amino)pyrimidin-4-yl]nicotinic Acid Ethyl Ester 27. Replacing 21 with 26 and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{2 2}$ gave $\mathbf{2 7}$ as a yellowbrown foam: $84 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.14$ (brs, 2 H ), 8.97 (d, $J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.79 (s, 1 H ), 8.67 (d, $J=$ $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ (t, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99$ (m, 2 H ), 6.86 (brd, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.40\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ); MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 355\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

5-\{5-[(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)(3-chlorophenyl)amino]py-rimidin-4-yl\}nicotinic Acid 28. Replacing 22 with 27 and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{2 3}$ gave 28 as a yellow solid: $92 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 9.35 (d, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.28 (s, 1 H ), 9.18 (d, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.90$ (brd, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.35 (s, 9 H ); MS (ES) m/z 425 (M $\mathrm{H}^{+}$).
[4-(5-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)pyridin-3-yl)pyri-midin-5-yl](3-chlorophenyl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 29. Replacing 23 with 28 and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{2 4}$ gave $\mathbf{2 9}$ as a yellowish foam: $81 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.58 (d, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.52 (d, $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.34 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 498\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[[5-[5-[(3-Chlorophenyl)amino]-4-pyrimidinyl]-3-py-ridinyl]amino]-1-propanol 30. Replacing 24 with 29 and following the same procedure as in the preparation of $\mathbf{2 5}$ gave 30 as a yellow solid: $51 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 8.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{brt}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ (t, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{q}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 356\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OCl} \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.
(3-Chlorophenyl)(6-chloropyrazin-2-yl)amine 32. Palladium acetate $(0.121 \mathrm{~g}, 0.537 \mathrm{mmol})$, BINAP $(0.368 \mathrm{~g} 0.591$
mmol ), $t$ - BuONa ( $3.609 \mathrm{~g}, 37.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2,6-dichloropyrazine (4 $\mathrm{g}, 26.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 3 -chloroaniline ( $3.44 \mathrm{~g}, 26.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 100 mL ) were stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 22 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2} . \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added, the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, and the solvent was evaporated. Product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give $5.8 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ of $32:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60$ (brs, 1 H ); MS (ES) m/z $241\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right.$. $\left.0.13 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(3-Chlorophenyl)(6-chloropyrazin-2-yl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 33. A mixture of $\mathbf{3 2}(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 13.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMAP ( $\sim 200 \mathrm{mg}$, cat) in dichloromethane ( 65 mL ) was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated, and the product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give 1.6 $\mathrm{g}(100 \%)$ of 33 as a tan wax: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 8.87 (s, 1 H ), 8.32 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.34-7.27 (m, 2 H ), 7.21 (brs, 1 H ), 7.10 (dt, $J=6.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.46 (s, 9 H ); MS m/z 362 (M + $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

6-(Trimethylstannanyl)pyrazine-2-carboxylicAcidMethyl Ester 35. A mixture of methyl 6-chloro-2-pyrazinecarboxylate $34(4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 26.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, bis(trimethyltin) ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 30.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $1.51 \mathrm{~g}, 1.31$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{LiCl}(3.32 \mathrm{~g}, 78.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4methylphenol ( $0.230 \mathrm{~g}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4.5 h under nitrogen. After being cooled to room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered. The solid was washed with dichloromethane and the filtrate was concentrated and flash chromatographed (ethyl acetate/hexane as solvent) to give $5.60 \mathrm{~g}(71 \%)$ of organostannane 35 as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.09$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
$\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$-[(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)(3-chlorophenyl)amino][2,2']-bipyrazinyl-6-carboxylic Acid Methyl Ester 36. A mixture of $\mathbf{3 5}$ ( $536 \mathrm{mg}, 1.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), compound $\mathbf{3 3}$ ( $666 \mathrm{mg}, 1.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.178 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{LiCl}(230 \mathrm{mg}, 5.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous toluene ( 15 mL ) was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6.5 h under nitrogen. The cooled reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum and purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane as solvent) to give $593 \mathrm{mg}(75 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 6}$ as an off-white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.41-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $m / z 464\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
\{ $\boldsymbol{6}^{\prime}$ [ $[$ tert-Butoxycarbonyl)(3-chlorophenyl)amino][2,2']-bipyrazinyl-6-yl\}carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 37. Compound $\mathbf{3 6}(1.31 \mathrm{~g}, 2.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.110 \mathrm{~g}$, 4.58 mmol ) in THF ( 10 mL ) and water ( 2.5 mL ) for 2 h at room temperature. Acetic acid ( 0.55 mL ) was added and the solution was concentrated to about half of the original volume. After the addition of water, some solid formed. The solid was collected through filtration, washed with water, and dried under vacuum overnight to give $1.22 \mathrm{~g}(96 \%)$ of a carboxylic acid as a yellow solid: MS (ES) $m / z 450\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$. A mixture of the carboxylic acid ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 0.819 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DPPA ( 340 mg , $1.24 \mathrm{mmol})$, and triethylamine ( $0.230 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and toluene $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 $\min$ and then the temperature was slowly increased to $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After concentration, the reaction mixture was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give 278 mg (68\%) of $\mathbf{3 7}$ as a yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.31$ (s, 1 H ), 9.23 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.16 ( s , 1 H ), 8.70 (s, 1 H ), 7.96 (brs, 1 H ), $7.39-7.30$ (m, 2 H ), 7.27 (m, 1 H), 7.19-7.15 (m, 1 H ), 1.57 (s, 9 H$), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 521\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

3 -[ [6'-((3-Chlorophenyl)amino)[2,2']bipyrazinyl-6-yl]-aminolpropan-1ol 38. A mixture of compound $37(60 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.12 mmol ), (3-bromopropoxy)-tert-butyldimethylsilane ( 40 mg , 0.16 mmol ), and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 1 mL ) was heated at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by column chromatography ( EtOAc / hexane as solvent) to give $71 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$ of the $\mathbf{B o c}_{2}-38$ as an
off-white solid. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ClSi}: \mathrm{C}, 59.04 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.06 ; N, 12.52. Found: C, 59.24; H, 7.00; N, 12.11.

Boc $_{2}-38$ ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.373 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in TFA ( 4 mL ) and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h before concentration. A saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution was added to the residue until the mixture was made basic followed by the addition of water. The precipitated solid was collected through filtration, again washed with water, and dried under vacuum. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/MeOH as solvent) to provide $112 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 8}$ as a yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.89$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 8.79$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00$ (s, 1 H ), 7.62 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (brs, 1 H$), 3.53$ (m, 2 H ), $3.47-3.41$ (m, 2 H ), 1.82-1.73 (m, 2 H ); MS (ES) $m / z 357\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; FAB-HRMS ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6}-$ OCl 357.1230, found 357.1215.
(6-Bromopyridin-2-yl)[3-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)propyl]carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 40. A mixture of 2 -amino-6-bromopyridine compound 39 ( $3.46 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $4.80 \mathrm{~g}, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4 -(dimethylamino)pyridine ( $0.244 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was stirred at room temperature for more than 24 h . The solution was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give $1.36 \mathrm{~g}(25 \%)$ of Boc-39 as clear crystals: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.88$ (d, $J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.50 (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.22 (brs, 1 H ), 7.12 (d, $J$ $=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
(3-Bromopropoxy)-tert-butyldimethylsilane ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 5.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.43 \mathrm{~g}, 7.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to the solution of Boc-39 in dry DMF ( 20 mL ). After stirring at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h , the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/ hexane) to provide 1.93 g ( $88 \%$ ) of compound 40 as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.44 (m, 1 H), $7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.67 (t, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88$ (s, 9 H ), $0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 467\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{BrSi}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
[3-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)propyl](6-(tributylstan-nanyl)pyridin-2-yl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 41. A mixture of compound $\mathbf{4 0}$ ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.337 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(tributyltin) ( $0.340 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.673 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{LiCl}(43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous 1,4 -dioxane ( 3 mL ) was refluxed at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h under nitrogen. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed over silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane as solvent) to give $152 \mathrm{mg}(69 \%)$ of 41 as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88$ (t, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $656\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

3-\{[6-[6-((3-Chlorophenyl)amino)pyrazin-2-yl]pyridin-2-yl]amino\}propan-1-ol 42. A mixture of compound 41 (103 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.157 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N$-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-amino-6-chloropyrazine 33 ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and LiCl ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous toluene ( 3 mL ) was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h under nitrogen. The cooled reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum and purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give 63 mg ( $62 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{B o c}_{2}-42$ as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.29$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.93 (s, 1 H ), 7.73 (dd, $J=7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.65 (m, 1 H ), 7.61-7.58 (m, 1 H ), 7.37-7.28 (m, 3 H ), 7.19-7.15 (m, 1 H), $4.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-$ 1.92 (m, 2 H ), 1.53 (s, 9 H ), 1.49 (s, 9 H ), 0.85 (s, 9 H ), 0.02 (s, 6 H ); MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / z 692\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

Bocer $_{2}-42(53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.079 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in TFA ( 1.5 mL ) and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h before concentration. A saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution was added to the residue until the mixture was made basic followed by the
addition of water. The precipitated solid was collected through filtration, washed with water and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried under vacuum to provide $28 \mathrm{mg}(100 \%)$ of $\mathbf{4 2}$ as a yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.80$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.85 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.24 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71$ (brs, 1 H ), 6.57 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.48 (brs, 1 H ), 3.53 (t, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 356\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OCl} \cdot 0.55 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(4-Iodopyridin-2-yl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 44. A mixture of 4-iodopicolinic acid $43(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.30 \mathrm{mmol})$, DPPA $(1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 4.95 \mathrm{mmol})$, triethylamine $(1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}\left(5.5 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ), and toluene ( 5 mL ) was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h and then $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After concentration, the reaction mixture was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give $515 \mathrm{mg}(50 \%)$ of $\mathbf{4 4}$ as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.17$ (brs, 1 H ), 8.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.98 (dd, $J=5.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.34 (dd, $J=5.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS (ES) $m / z 343\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.
[3-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)propyl](4-iodopyridin-2yl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 45. A mixture of compound 44 ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (3-bromopropoxy)-tert-butyldimethylsilane ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(504 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.55 mmol ) in dry DMF ( 4 mL ) was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/ hexane) to provide 450 mg ( $89 \%$ ) of compound 45 as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $m / z 515\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.
[3-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)propyl](4-(trimethylstan-nanyl)pyridin-2-yl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 46. A mixture of compound 45 ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(trimethyltin) $(870 \mathrm{mg}, 2.66 \mathrm{mmol})$, tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.130 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{LiCl}(170 \mathrm{mg}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.054 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane ( 12 mL ) was heated at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h under nitrogen. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed over silica gel (EtOAc/ hexane as solvent) to give $590 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$ of 46 as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97$ (t, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.64(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{m} / z 527\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SiSn}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-\{[4-[6-((3-Chlorophenyl)amino)pyrazin-2-yl]pyridin-2-yl]amino\}propan-1-ol 47. A mixture of compound 46 ( 550 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), compound 33 ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.104 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{LiCl}(132 \mathrm{mg}, 3.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h under nitrogen. The cooled reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum and purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give $380 \mathrm{mg}(55 \%)$ of Boc $_{2}-47$ as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (brd, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85$ (m, 2 H$), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $m / z 670\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.
A solution of $\mathbf{B o c}_{2}-\mathbf{4 7}(350 \mathrm{mg}, 0.522 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TFA ( 4 mL ) was left at room temperature overnight before concentration. A saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution was added to the residue until the mixture was made basic followed by the addition of water. The precipitated solid was collected through filtration, washed with water, dried under vacuum, and purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ as solvent) to provide 143 mg $(77 \%)$ of 47 as a yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.10 (s, 2 H), 7.04 (dd, $J=7.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.69 (brt, $J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55$ (brs, 1 H ), 3.51 (t, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 356\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OCl} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(4-(Trimethylstannanyl)pyridin-3-yl)carbamic Acid tertButyl Ester 49. A mixture of 4-(trimethylstannyl)nicotinic acid 48 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DPPA ( $433 \mathrm{mg}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triethylamine ( $0.250 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and toluene ( 2 mL ) was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h and then $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . After concentration, the reaction mixture was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give 213 mg ( $57 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{4 9}$ as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
[6-(3-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)pyridin-4-yl)pyrazin-2-yl](3-chlorophenyl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 50. A mixture of $49(162 \mathrm{mg}, 0.454 \mathrm{mmol}), 33(155 \mathrm{mg}, 0.454$ mmol ), dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.091$ mmol ), and $\mathrm{LiCl}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous toluene ( 10 mL ) was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give 156 mg ( $69 \%$ ) of 50 as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $9.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $7.47-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ (dd, $J=7.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56$ (s, 9 H ), 1.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 498$ (M + H${ }^{+}$).

3-\{[4-[6-((3-Chlorophenyl)amino)pyrazin-2-yl]pyridin-3-yl]amino\}propan-1-ol 51. A mixture of compound 50 (29 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (3-bromopropoxy)-tert-butyldimethylsilane $(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 1 mL ) was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane as solvent) to give 32 mg ( $82 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{B o c}_{2}-\mathbf{5 1}$ as a yellow oil; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 670\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

Bocere $_{2} 51$ ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.089 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in TFA ( 2 mL ) and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h before concentration. A saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution was added to the residue until the mixture was made basic followed by the addition of water. The precipitated solid was collected through filtration, washed with water, and dried under vacuum. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ as solvent) to provide 28 mg ( $88 \%$ ) of 51 as a yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.90$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95$ (brd, $J$ $=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48$ (m, 1H), 3.37 (m, 2 H), $3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $m / z 356\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OCl} \cdot 0.6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

6-\{6-[(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)(3-chlorophenyl)amino]py-razin-2-yl\}nicotinic Acid Methyl Ester 53. A mixture of methyl 6-(((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)oxy)nicotinate 52 ( 2.00 g , $7.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, bis(trimethyltin) ( $3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $0.810 \mathrm{~g}, 0.700 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous $\mathrm{LiCl}(0.900 \mathrm{~g}, 21.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4methylphenol ( $0.062 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h under nitrogen. After being cooled to room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and washed with dichloromethane. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum. Water and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added to the residue and the resulting mixture was filtered again through Celite. The filtrate was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layers were combined and washed with $15 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(2 \times)$, and the aqueous layer was back-extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give 2.31 g of crude methyl 6 -(trimethylstannyl)nicotinate as a brown oil.

Compound 33 ( $2.20 \mathrm{~g}, 6.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine) palladium ( $0.780 \mathrm{~g}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{LiCl}(0.900 \mathrm{~g}, 21.2$ mmol ), and anhydrous toluene ( 80 mL ) were added to the crude organostannane. The reaction mixture was heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h under nitrogen and then left at room temperature overnight. After filtration through Celite, the filtrate was concentrated and flash chromatographed (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give $1.19 \mathrm{~g}(38 \%$, two steps) of 53 as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.43$ (s, 1 H ), $9.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.06 (s, 1 H ), 8.31 (dd, $J=8.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.93 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97$
(s, 3 H ), 1.49 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ) ; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / z 463\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
[6-(5-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)pyridin-2-yl)pyrazin-2-yl](3-chlorophenyl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 54. A mixture of $53(540 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiOH}(44 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 16 mL ) and water ( 4 mL ) was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. More $\mathrm{LiOH}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the cloudy solution. After another 3 h at room temperature, the solution became clear. Acetic acid ( 0.30 mL ) was added and the solution was concentrated to about half of the original volume. After the addition of water, some solid formed. The solid was collected through filtration, washed with water, and dried under vacuum overnight to give 522 mg (100\%) of a carboxylic acid as a yellow solid: MS (ES) $m / z 425\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

A mixture of the carboxylic acid ( $522 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DPPA ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triethylamine ( $0.340 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(9 \mathrm{~mL})$ and toluene ( 9 mL ) was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then the temperature was slowly increased to 80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After concentration, the reaction mixture was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give $393 \mathrm{mg}(65 \%)$ of $\mathbf{5 4}$ as a yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.31$ (s, 1 H ), 8.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.45 ( d , $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{brd}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 520\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-\{[6-[6-((3-Chlorophenyl)amino)pyrazin-2-yl]pyridin-3-yl]amino\}propan-1-ol 55. A mixture of $54(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.086$ mmol), (3-bromopropoxy)-tert-butyldimethylsilane ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12$ mmol ), and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 1 mL ) was heated at $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h then $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give $51 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$ of $\mathbf{B o c}_{2^{-}}$ 55 as a clear oil.
$\mathrm{Boc}_{2}-55$ ( $385 \mathrm{mg}, 0.575 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in TFA ( 6 mL ) and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h before concentration. A saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution was added to the residue until the mixture was made basic, followed by the addition of water. The precipitated solid was collected through filtration, washed with water, and dried under vacuum. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ as solvent) to provide $176 \mathrm{mg}(86 \%)$ of 55 as a yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11-8.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.63 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.06 (dd, $J$ $=8.6,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.52(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ); MS (ES) m/z $356\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OCl} \cdot 0.24 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) C, H, N.
(5-Bromothiazol-2-yl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 57. A mixture of (2-amino-5-bromothiazole) 56 ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 38.4$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8.39 \mathrm{~g}, 38.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMAP ( $440 \mathrm{mg}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(9.6 \mathrm{~g}, 114 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated, and the product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) and recrystallized from ethyl acetate/hexane to give $3.41 \mathrm{~g}(32 \%)$ of $\mathbf{5 7}$ as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.70$ (brs, 1 H ), 7.43 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(5-Bromothiazol-2-yl)[3-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)propyl]carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 58. A mixture of compound 57 ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 7.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (3-bromopropoxy)-tert-butyldimethylsilane ( $3.46 \mathrm{~g}, 12.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(7.7 \mathrm{~g}, 23.7$ mmol ) in DMF ( 24 mL ) was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 18 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with water, extracted with ether ( $3 \times$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/ hexane as solvent) to give $2.6 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$ of the silylated product compound 58 as an oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.22$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 1.53 (s, 9 H ), 0.85 (s, 9 H ), 0.01 (s, 6 H ). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{31^{-}}$ $\left.\mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SSi}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-\{[5-[6-((3-Chlorophenyl)amino)pyrazin-2-yl]thiazol-2-yl]amino\}propan-1-ol 59. A mixture of compound 58 (152
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.337 \mathrm{mmol})$, bis(tributyltin) ( $390 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), LiCl ( 43 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and BHT ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane ( 3 mL ) was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h under nitrogen. The cooled reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give 56 mg ( $25 \%$ ) of organostannane as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, ~ J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 15$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H})$, $0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 663\left(\mathrm{M}+1^{+}\right)$.

A mixture of organostannane ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 33 (72 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.021 \mathrm{mmol})$, and LiCl $(27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h under nitrogen. The cooled reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to give 96 $\mathrm{mg}(68 \%)$ of the Boc $\mathbf{B}_{2}-59$ as an oil.
$\mathbf{B o c}_{2}-59$ was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 2 mL ) and TFA $(2.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h before concentrated. Ammonium hydroxide solution was added to the residue until the pH was about $10-11$ and a yellow solid was formed. The yellow solid was collected through filtration, washed with water, and dried under vacuum to give 59 as a light yellow solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ES) $m / z 362\left(\mathrm{M}+1^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OClS}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.
(3-Chlorophenyl)(6-oxazol-5-ylpyrazin-2-yl)carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 60. A mixture of $\mathbf{3 3}$ ( $678 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), oxazole ( $414 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium acetate $(295 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0$ mmol ), and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( 115 mg , 0.1 mmol ) in DMA ( 5 mL ) was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 days in a sealed tube. Water was added, the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to provide $427 \mathrm{mg}(57 \%)$ of 60 as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ C, H, N.
(3-Chlorophenyl)[6-(2-iodooxazol-5-yl)pyrazin-2-yl]carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 61. LiHMDS (1.0 M in THF, $1.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $60(288 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.774 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 7.4 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . A solution of 1,2-diiodoethane (492 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 4.9 mL ) was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , quenched by adding $10 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane as solvent) to provide $252 \mathrm{mg}(68 \%)$ of 61 as tan solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , acetone $\left.-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 521\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.
(3-Chlorophenyl)\{6-[2-((3-hydroxypropyl)amino)oxazol-5-yl]pyrazin-2-yl\}carbamic Acid tert-Butyl Ester 62. A mixture of $\mathbf{6 1}(198 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 3 -amino-1-propanol ( 4 mL ) was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and EtOAc was added. This solution was washed with water $(3 \times)$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. Recrystallization from EtOAc provided 41 mg (30\%) of 62 as a tan solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 9.75 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71$ (t, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.35 (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.01 (dd, $J=7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 1.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Biology. Kinase Activity Assays. A kinase reaction mixture was prepared in 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{pH} 8), 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$, $0.1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{VO}_{4}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $1 \% \mathrm{DMSO}, 0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ biotinylated peptide substrate, $0.2-0.8 \mu \mathrm{Ci}$ per well $\left[{ }^{33} \mathrm{P}\right]-\gamma$-ATP [2000$3000 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}$, and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP in the presence or absence of
test compound and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h in a streptavidincoated FlashPlate (Perkin-Elmer, Boston, MA). Biotinylated peptide substrates were described as follows: ${ }^{49}$ VEGFR-2 (bio-tin-KHKKLAEGSAYEEV-amide), calmodulin kinase 2 (biotin-KKALRRQETVDAL-amide), casein kinase-1 (biotin-KRRRAL-S(phospho)VASLPGL-amide), casein kinase-2 (biotin-RREEE-TEEE-amide), CDK1 (biotin-KTPKKAKKPKTPKKAKKLamide), CDK4 (GST-retinoblastoma protein construct), EGFR (biotin-DRVYIHPF-amide), FGFR-2 (biotin-poly(GT) 4:1), GSK-3 (biotin-KRREILSRRP(phospho)SYR-amide), insulin-R kinase (biotin-TRDIYETDYYRK-amide), MAPK (biotin-APRTPGGRRamide), PDGF-R (biotin-KHKKLAEGSAYEEV-amide), PKA (biotin-GRTGRRNSI-amide), PKC $\beta 2$ (biotin-RFARKGSLRQK-NV-amide), and PKC $\gamma$ (biotin-RFARKGSLRQKNV-amide). Reaction conditions and components varied slightly depending on the protein kinase being assayed. The reaction was terminated by washing with PBS containing 100 mM EDTA, and plates were counted in a scintillation counter. Inhibition of the enzymatic activity due to compound was measured by observing a reduced amount of $\left[{ }^{33} \mathrm{P}\right]-\gamma$-ATP incorporated into the immobilized peptide relative to untreated controls. Linear regression analysis of the percent of inhibition by test compound was used to calculate $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (GraphPad Prism 3, GraphPad Software, SanDiego, CA).

Cell Culture. HUVEC and HASMC cells were obtained from Cascade Biologicals (Portland, OR) and maintained in Media 200 containing low serum growth supplement (Cascade Biologicals). All other cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). HeLa, A375, and HCT-116 cells were cultured in minimal essential medium (MEM) with 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids and 1 mM sodium pyruvate supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine and $10 \%$ FCS (Hyclone, Logan, UT). MRC5 were cultured in Eagles MEM and A375 in Dulbecco's modified Eagles medium with 4 mM l-glutamine and $1.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ sodium bicarbonate supplemented with $10 \%$ FCS. Cells were maintained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ plus $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ as exponentially growing monolayers.

VEGF-Stimulated HUVEC Proliferation. To evaluate inhibition of VEGF-stimulated proliferation, HUVEC cells were detached with trypsin and seeded into 96 -well plates in F-12K medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) containing $0.2 \%$ heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum. Cells were stimulated with $2 \mathrm{ng} /$ well VEGF (R\&D systems) in the presence or absence of test compounds. BrdU was added 24 h after the addition of VEGF and incubated with cells for an additional $20-24 \mathrm{~h}$. BrdU incorporation was quantified using cell proliferation ELISA with BrdU reagents (Roche Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN).

Cell Proliferation Assay. The ability of test compound to inhibit the proliferation of cell growth was determined by measuring [ $\left.{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$ thymidine incorporation into primary cells or cell lines derived from carcinomas originating from several tissues. Briefly, cells were trypsinized and seeded into 96 -well CytoStar scintillating microplates (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ ) in complete medium in a volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Cells were incubated for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an atmosphere containing $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Test compound was added, and cells were incubated for 24 more hours. Methyl $\left[{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$ thymidine (Perkin-Elmer, Boston, MA) was diluted in complete medium and $0.2 \mu \mathrm{Ci}$ was added to each well of the CytoStar plate in a volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The plate was incubated for 24 additional hours in the presence of test compound. Plates were washed twice with $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PBS and $\left[{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$ thymidine incorporation was quantified on a Packard Top Count. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values reported as $>10$ indicate that inhibition did not reach $50 \%$ of control at the highest dose tested.

Molecular Docking Procedures. The X-ray structure of VEGFR-2 has been determined in the unliganded, phosphorylated form (PDB code 1VR2). ${ }^{50}$ This structure was first added all the missing hydrogen atoms by the modeling program Maestro, ${ }^{51}$ followed by the visual inspection to ensure that all the polar/charged residues form the correct hydrogen bonding with their neighbors. Then, it was energy minimized in the aqueous solution using the GB/SA model. ${ }^{52}$ To prevent the unrealistic movement that may be caused by using an implicit
water model, harmonic position constraints of 100 and $10 \mathrm{~kJ} /$ ( $\AA^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were applied to the heavy atoms on the protein backbone and side chain, respectively, while all the hydrogen atoms were treated without position constraints. The final structure was used as the target for VEGFR-2 molecular docking studies.

Since no CDK1 X-ray structures are currently available, a model of CDK1, complexed with its ligand purvalanol B, has been built on the basis of the X-ray structure of CDK2/ purvalanol B complex (PDB code 1CKP). ${ }^{53}$ The quality of this model is promised by the following facts: the amino acid sequence of human CDK1 (SWISS-PROT code PO6493) shares high $63 \%$ identity and $74 \%$ similarity with human CDK2 (SWISS-PROT code P24941); it has only two different residues, Ser84 and Met85, at the ATP-binding site, as compared to CDK2; furthermore, the side chains of these two different residues are extended out of the ATP-binding site and are not directly involved in ligand binding. The homology-modeling program Prime ${ }^{54}$ was used for the model building. The resulting CDK1-purvalanol B complex structure was further optimized by full energy minimization in the aqueous solution using the GB/SA model, ${ }^{52}$ and the final structure was used as the target structure for CDK1 molecular docking studies.

CGP60474 and 15 were docked into the ATP-binding sites of the target structures of CDK1 or VEGFR-2, respectively, using the docking program Glide. ${ }^{55}$ The standard precision (SP) mode of Glide was used to explore the favorable binding poses, which allowed the ligand conformation be flexibly explored while holding the protein as a rigid structure during the docking. The predicted binding modes were determined as the poses with the best Emodel scores. ${ }^{56}$ Each predicted complex structure was then energy-minimized in the aqueous solution using the GB/SA model. ${ }^{52}$ Harmonic position constraints of 100 and $10 \mathrm{~kJ} /\left(\AA^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ were applied to the residues that were more than $12 \AA$ away or within $6-12 \AA$ from any ligand atom, respectively, while the ligand and the residues that are less than $6 \AA$ from it were treated without position constraints.

All the molecular mechanism calculations were done using the program MacroModel, ${ }^{57}$ with the OPLS_AA force field. ${ }^{58}$ Polak-Ribiere conjugate gradient method was used for energy minimization, and the derivative convergence criterion was set at $0.05 \mathrm{~kJ} /(\AA \cdot \mathrm{mol})$.
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